UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,420	06/30/2003	Peter J. Kight	23952-0056	8085
29052 SUTHERLAN	7590 06/14/200 D ASBILL & BRENN	EXAMINER		
999 PEACHTR	REE STREET, N.E.	ALVAREZ, RAQUEL		
ATLANTA, G.	ATLANTA, GA 30309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/608,420	KIGHT ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Raquel Alvarez	3622				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHI0 - Exte after - If N0 - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period cure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed ' n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 J	une 2003					
		s action is non-final.					
3)							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	2. pa. 10 Quayro, 1000 0.2. 11, 1	00 0.0.210.				
	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application						
1/63	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement					
		r clostor requirement.					
	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	• •				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
-/1	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	·.•						
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date B) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/24/05,2/4/2004. 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This office action is in response to communication filed on 6/3/2003.
- 2. Claims 1-30 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 5-8, 10, 12, 14, 18-21, 23, 25, 26 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hogan (5,699,528 hereinafter Hogan).

With respect to claims 1, 14, 20, 26, Hogan teaches a method for integrating presentment of bills and initiation of payments by a service provider via a communication network (Abstract). Transmitting, from a service provider via a communications network, bill information representing a plurality of bills of a plurality of billers for a plurality of payors, including information representing a first of the plurality of bills of the plurality of bills of a first plurality of payors (Figure 4);

Receiving, at the service provider via the communication network, a first payment instruction from the first payor to pay the first bill based on the transmitted bill information representing the first bill (Figure 4) and a second payment instruction from another payor to pay a payee based on other than the transmitted bill information (i.e. each payor receives their own bill information to pay their separate bills);

Initiating, at the service provider, a first payment of the first bill to the first biller based on the received bill payment instruction (Figure 4);

Application/Control Number: 10/608,420

Art Unit: 3622

Initiating, at the service provider, a second payment to the payee based on the

Page 3

received second payment instruction (i.e. each payors initiates payment instructions to

pay their bills).

With respect to claims 5-7, 18-19, 30 Hogan further teaches that the payee is not

one of the plurality of billers and the payment instruction is not one of the plurality of bills

represented by the transmitted bill information (i.e. initiating registration service of a new

biller and a new payor by the payor accessing a service registration home page. The

payor is able to register a new biller)(col. 5, lines 16-52).

With respect to claims 8, 10, 21, 23, 25, Hogan further teaches initiating at the

service provider a third payment instruction from the second payor to pay the second bill

based on the transmitted bill information (i.e. the payor can select to pay bill reference#

1 to N)(see Figure 7).

With respect to claim 12 Hogan further teaches initiating at the service provider a

third payment instruction from the second payor to pay the second bill based on the

transmitted bill information (i.e. the payor can select to pay bill reference# 1 to N)(see

Figure 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3622

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

5. Claims 2-4, 9, 11, 13, 15-17, 22, 24 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hogan in view of Official Notice.

Claims 2-4, 15-17, 27-29 further recite selecting a debit type such as ACH debiting of a deposit account upon the determined risk. Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known to determine risk in order to determine what payment type to accept from a person. For example, if a customer has given bad checks in the past then check payments will not be accepted from that customer in order to avoid further loses. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included selecting a debit type such as ACH debiting of a deposit account upon the determined risk. Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known to determine risk in order to determine what payment type to accept from a person in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

Claims 9, 11, 13, 22, 24 further recite consolidating the payment instructions into a single consolidated payment. Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known in bill payments and the like to consolidate various payments into one low monthly payment in order to provide convenience. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included consolidating the payment instructions into a single consolidated payment in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

Art Unit: 3622

Point of contact

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raquel Alvarez whose telephone number is (571)272-6715. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric w. Stamber can be reached on (571)272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raquel Alvarez

Art Unit 3622

R.A. 6/4/2007